

P.B.5818 - Patentlaarı 2 2280 HV Rijswijk (ZH) 2 +31 70 340 2040 TX 31651 epo nl FAX +31 70 340 3016 Europäisches Patentamt

Zweigstelle in Den Haag Recherchenabteilung European Patent Office

Branch at The Hague Search Office européen des brevets

Département à La Haye Division de la recherche

Richardt, Markus Albert Unter den Eichen 7 65195 Wiesbaden ALLEMAGNE

PA Quermann & Richardt
26, Sep. 2003

Termin:

104 658

24. NOV 2003

Datum/Date 26.09.03

Zeichen/Ref /Réf.

alca.202.23 EP

Anmeldung Nr./Application No./Demande n°./Patent Nr./Patent No./Brevet n°.

03290601.8-2215-

Anmelder/Applicant/Dernandeur/Patentinhaber/Proprietor/Titulaire ALCATEL

## COMMUNICATION

The European Patent Office herewith transmits as an enclosure the European search report for the above-mentioned European patent application.

If applicable, copies of the documents cited in the European search report are attached.

Additional set(s) of copies of the documents cited in the European search report is (are) enclosed as well.

The following specifications given by the applicant have been approved by the Search Division:

**M** abstract

TXT sittle

The abstract was modified by the Search Division and the definitive text is attached to this communication.

The following figure will be published together with the abstract:

1

## **REFUND OF THE SEARCH FEE**

If applicable under Article 10 Rules relating to fees, a separate communication from the Receiving Section on the refund of the search fee will be sent later.





## **EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT**

Application Number EP 03 29 0601

<del></del>		PERED TO BE RELEVANT	<del></del>		
Category	Citation of document with of relevant pas	indication, where appropriate, sages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int.CI.7)	
X	US 2001/007820 A1 (12 July 2001 (2001-	(BRANDRUD KNUT ET AL) -07-12)	1,4-7	G08B25/08	
Α	* abstract; figure	3 *	2,3,8-10		
	* paragraph '0008!		_,_,_		
	* column 11 *				
_	* column 29 *				
A	US 2002/165953 A1 (		1-10		
	7 November 2002 (20 * paragraph '0007!				
	* paragraph '0021!				
İ	* paragraph '0023!				
	* paragraph '0034!				
]	* paragraph '0048! * paragraph '0051!	*			
	* paragraph '0052!	*			
	* paragraph '0058!;	figures 1-4 *			
				TECHNICAL FIELDS	
				SEARCHED (Int.CI.7)	
				G08B H04L	
				11072	
			1		
			1		
	The present search report has	been drawn up for all claims			
<del></del> -	Place of search	Date of completion of the search		Examiner	
MUNICH		7 August 2003	August 2003 Wright, J		
CA	TEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS	i mosty of princ	iple underlying the in document, but publis		
X : partic	cularly relevant if taken alone cularly relevant if combined with anot	after the filing	date	neu on, or	
docu	ment of the same category	L : document cited	d in the application d for other reasons		
O: non-	nological background -written disclosure	&: member of the	same patent family,	соrresponding	
P:inter	nediate document	document		, <b>.</b>	

1

EPO FORM 1503 03.82 (P04C01)

## ANNEX TO THE EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT ON EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION NO.

EP 03 29 0601

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned European search report. The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

07-08-2003

C	Patent document ited in search repo	rt	Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US :	2001007820	A1	12-07-2001	NO AU DE GB WO	20000164 A 2564901 A 10085397 TO 2375930 A 0152573 A1	13-07-2001 24-07-2001 16-01-2003 27-11-2002 19-07-2001
US 2	2002165953	A1	07-11-2002	NONE		
		•				



Communication/Minutes (Annex)

Notification/Procès-verbal (Annexe)

Blatt Sheet Feuille

1

Anmelde-Nr.:

Application No.: 03 290 601.8

Demande n°.

The examination is being carried out on the following application documents:

Text for the Contracting States:

AT BE BG CH CY CZ DE DK EE ES FI FR GB GR HU IE IT LU MC NL PT LI RO SE SI SK TR

Description, pages:

1-8

as originally filed

Claims, No.:

1-10

as originally filed

Drawings, sheets:

1/2-2/2

as originally filed

The following documents will be referred to in this communication, the numbering will be maintained in later proceedings:

D1: US 2001/007820 A1 (BRANDRUD KNUT ET AL) 12 July 2001 (2001-07-12) D2: US 2002/165953 A1 (DIONG CHONG KHAI) 7 November 2002 (2002-11-07)

1. Claim 1 is not allowable since it is not new, Art. 54(1), 52(1) EPC.

Document D1 discloses a WAP based home gateway system which interfaces via the WAP gateway with the internet. Via the internet such a system can receive replies or implicitly generate information to identical WAP gateways in the form of hypertext transfer protocols. See fig. 3. Claim 1 of the application is therefore not new. By analogy the same is true of the subject matter of claims 5,6,7.

2. The analysis of the examiner is based on the broadest interpretation of the wording "monitoring an occurrence of an event...............[and] sending a response to the occurrence of the event". Since in the above mentioned claims the "event" can be a key stroke on a keyboard which devices such as mobile telephones continually "monitor" in order to provide an appropriate response. There is therefore no difference between the subject matter claimed and that of D1.



Communication/Minutes (Annex)

Notification/Procès-verbal (Annexe)

Blatt

Sheet Feuille 2

Anmelde-Nr.:
Application No.: 03 290 601.8

The examiner notes that of the prior art analysed during the search, it is relatively common for WAP based systems to be informed of an alarm event, such as a smoke alarm at a remote station. As is mentioned in document D2, paragraph [0007], WAP based systems are normally operated by persons and included devices such as PDAs and mobile telephones. D2 is also concerned with an alarm system, see paragraph [0052] However no documents were found in which the alarm station was WAP based.

3. In claims such as claim 2, it is specified that the WAP request is sent in response to occurrence of a fire, smoke, and or sound sensor for monitoring.

The examiner is of the opinion that a claim such as D2 could form the basis of an allowable claim (new and inventive, Art. 52(1) EPC) since it would appear not to be obvious to apply WAP technology to the front end (alarm sensors) of an alarm system.

- 4. In addition to the documents cited already in the description, the examiner considers that document D1 should be briefly mentioned in the description, Rule 27 1 b EPC.
- 5. In any new material to be filed, care should be taken not to include subject matter which extends beyond what was originally filed, Art. 123(2) EPC. This could include generalisations of a number of specific examples. The applicant is therefore requested to consider the wording carefully of any new claims to be filed.



Anmelde-Nr.:

Application No.: 03 290 601.8 Demande no:

This application is covered by the extended European search report pilot project at present running within the European Patent Office, applied to all European patent applications filed as first filing and searched on or after 01.07.03. Under this project the EPO issues together with the search report an opinion on whether the application and the invention to which it relates meet the requirements of the EPC. This non-binding opinion is issued free of charge as a service. This opinion may be used as the basis for an informed decision as to whether it is desired to pursue the application further or not.

For further details of this pilot project, the applicant's attention is directed to the Official Journal edition 5/2003. If any further immediate questions or comments arise the EPO Customer Services: +31-70-340 4500 or +49-89-2399 2828 can be contacted.

The attached opinion reveals that the application or the invention to which it relates appear not to meet the requirements of the Convention (see comments on enclosed Form 2906).

If the applicant wishes to continue with this application the examination fee must be paid. Where appropriate amendments can be filed to address the objections raised in the opinion, thus shortening the overall procedure. If no amendments are filed, the opinion will be re-issued as the first official communication under Article 96(2) and Rule 51(2) EPC.

If the examination fee has already been paid and the right to the communication under Article 96(1) EPC has been waived for this application, the first official communication under Article 96(2) and Rule 51(2) EPC will be issued promptly.